

REMARKS

Claims 1-4 are pending in this application.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over United States Patent No. 3,975,267 to Wendel (hereinafter "Wendel") in view of United States Patent No. 5,393,416 to Kozak et al. (hereinafter "Kozak"), and further in view of United States Patent No. 6,340,712 to Kunin et al. (hereinafter "Kunin"). The Examiner suggests that the pressurization employed during regeneration in the Wendel system is either conducted as part of the packing step or as part of the step comprising passing an aqueous solution of regenerant through the regeneration tower and, therefore, the pressurization is not precluded by the "consisting of" language recited in the second line of claim 1. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration.

The present invention is directed to a method of regenerating an ion exchange resin consisting of the steps of:

packing a used ion exchange resin in a regeneration tower; and

sequentially repeating, at least twice, a step that includes passing an aqueous solution of regenerant through the regeneration tower downward from a top part of the regeneration tower and thereafter passing ultra-pure water through the regeneration tower upward from a bottom of the regeneration tower.

Wendel discloses a method of producing treated liquids by using an ion exchange resin. The liquid to be treated is passed through a zone containing the ion exchange resin. When the ion treating resin is exhausted, it is regenerated by passing therethrough a liquid regeneration solution (in a direction opposite of the

flow of liquid to be treated). The regeneration solution is added in increasingly concentrated amounts in at least two steps, and preferably three steps or more. Wendel also requires a pressurization step designed to reduce expansion and to provide full and complete contact of regeneration liquids with ion exchange resin materials.


Kozak discloses an automated system for providing at least periodic removal of metal ions from a chemical complex and contaminants from a chemical bath. The chemical bath is passed through an ion exchange resin column at a low velocity to prevent coagulation of latex particles.

Kunin discloses non-chloride containing regenerant compositions that include potassium acetate or potassium formate, at least one surfactant and at least one chelating agent, as well as methods for efficient regeneration of water softeners utilizing the regenerant compositions.


Wendel discloses that:

Pressurization, in combination with step wise regeneration, reduces resin expansion and provides full and complete contact of regeneration liquids with ion exchange or adsorbent resin materials and permits full penetration of regenerant to the center of the resin beads as well as allowing for almost complete use of the regeneration liquid.
(Col. 4, lines 49-55).

As indicated above, the pressurization step in Wendel reduces resin expansion. If the resin expands, the expanded portion of the resin fills in the voids between the resin particles. The situations are depicted below:



Under pressurization



not under pressurization

The regenerant runs smoothly through the voids under pressurization. However, when the resin expands (not under pressurization), hardly any of the regenerant can pass through voids in the resin. Therefore, it can be seen that regeneration of the ion exchange resin is not complete.

The pressurization/regeneration step has nothing to do with the packing step in the present invention. Moreover, the pressurization in Wendel refers to pressurizing the entire tower, while in the present invention an aqueous solution of regenerant is pushed through the regeneration tower.

Further, as indicated at page 10, lines 10-12 in the present method, "the ion exchange resin repeats shrinkage-swelling cycle to thereby enable washing the internal part of ion exchange resin." The pressurization step in Wendel is done to reduce expansion and thus teaches away from the present method.

The specific order of the steps in the present invention is not disclosed or suggested in Wendel.

Additionally, the pressurization step in Wendel is different from controlling the flow direction of regenerant solution and ultra-pure water. The expansion of the ion exchange resin is influenced by the composition and functional groups of the resin. The regeneration method of the present invention does not include a pressurization step as indicated in Wendel.

The transitional phrase “consisting of” excludes any element, step, or ingredient not specified in the claim. MPEP § 2111.03 citing In re Gray, 53 F.2d 520, 11 USPQ 255 (CCPA 1931).

The Examiner attempts to explain away ignoring the “consisting of” limitation by stating that the pressurization step in Wendel might be part of a passing step to reduce resin expansion or it might be part of a packing step. Obviously, there is no clear way to explain the pressurization step other than to admit that it is a separate step that is precluded by the limiting “consisting of” language in claim 1.

The pressurization step in Wendel is different from controlling the flow direction of regenerant solution and ultra-pure water. Further, the expansion of the ion exchange resin is influenced by the composition and functional groups of the resin, not pressure. The regeneration method of the present invention does not include a pressurization step as indicated in Wendel, and that required step places Wendel outside of the scope of the present claims.

The Examiner combines Wendel with Kozak, but the method of Kozak is carried out to separate or to remove foreign particles from an ion exchange resin column based on the differences in their respective weight or density. The method of Kozak is different from the regeneration of an ion exchange resin. Kozak does not show that an ion exchange resin is regenerated by the regenerant. Therefore, Kozak does not provide the teaching of washing an ion exchange resin as required by the Examiner to modify Wendel to arrive at the present invention.

Kunin discloses a non-chloride containing regenerant composition and method for regenerating water softeners. In Kunin, ultra-pure water is used in rinsing the resin bed. However, Kunin never includes the repeated downward

application of an aqueous solution of regenerant and that ultra-pure water is applied, or the flow rates of either the aqueous solution of regenerant or the ultra-pure water, as in the present invention. Since the Examiner has taken Kunin and Kozak out of context in applying them to Wendel, and they do not overcome the shortcomings in Wendel, a *prima facie* of obviousness has not been proved, as the combined prior art does not provide the teaching of washing an ion exchange resin as required to arrive at the present invention.

None of Wendel, Kozak and/or Kunin, taken alone or in combination, disclose, describe, teach or in any way motivate a skilled artisan to regenerate an ion exchange resin using only the steps of packing a used ion exchange resin in a regeneration tower, and sequentially repeating, at least twice, a step that includes passing an aqueous solution of regenerant through the regeneration tower downward from a top part of the regeneration tower and thereafter passing ultra-pure water through the regeneration tower upward from a bottom of the regeneration tower.


Therefore, claims 1 and 2 are not rendered obvious over Wendel in view of Kozak and/or Kunin. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 1 and 2.

The Examiner has rejected claims 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Wendel in view of Kozak and Kunin, and further in view of United States Patent No. 4,652,352 to Saieva (hereinafter "Saieva").

The above remarks regarding the combination of Wendel in view of Kozak and/or Kunin are incorporated herein.

Wendel, Kozak and Kunin are clearly distinguished above. Saieva discloses a process and apparatus for recovering metals from dilute solution. Saieva discloses a closed loop process and apparatus, whereby metals may be recovered from spent electroplating rinse solutions for reuse in the electroplating bath with essentially no generation of waste. However, Saieva does not disclose how to regenerate the spent ion exchange resin. Furthermore, twice repeating the step of passing an aqueous solution of regenerant through the regeneration tower downward from a top part of the regeneration tower and thereafter passing ultra-pure water through the regeneration tower upward from the bottom of the regeneration tower is not disclosed in Saieva.

In the present invention, after the treating resin is exhausted, the resin is backwashed or pre-rinsed to cleanse the resin by mechanical action and to reclassify the resin particles by weight and size, the finer particles remaining in the upper part of the bed and the heavier particles at the bottom of the bed.



This treatment is conducted to eliminate particulate impurities due to differences in gravity or density. The treatment is conducted prior to regeneration of

the ion exchange resin. The reclassification step is not part of the present regeneration method.

Claims 3 and 4 indicate that the regeneration tower can be made of a fluoro-resin, a vinyl chloride resin or a polyolefin resin.

However, adding the disclosure of Saieva to that of Wendel, Kozak and/or Kunin, taken alone or in any combination, does not bridge the gap to lead one skilled in the art to the present invention. The combined disclosure still fails to describe, teach or in any way motivate a skilled artisan to regenerate an ion exchange resin using only the steps of packing a used ion exchange resin in a regeneration tower, and sequentially repeating, at least twice, a step that includes passing an aqueous solution of regenerant through the regeneration tower downward from a top part of the regeneration tower and thereafter passing ultra-pure water through the regeneration tower upward from a bottom of the regeneration tower.

In the present invention, even if channeling is generated in a layer of ion exchange resin, the channeling is broken with the result that, without the occurrence of non-uniform regeneration, the ion exchange resin can be regenerated efficiently and homogeneously. Further, the ion exchange resin can be washed within the tower. Still further, in the present invention, the regeneration of ion resin is carried out by the use of an ion exchange resin tower (regeneration tower) which is different from purifier towers. Therefore, the mixing of the regenerant in the purifier towers can be avoided, and it is not needed to interrupt the purification of aqueous hydrogen peroxide solution.

Application No. 09/854,807
Paper Dated October 18, 2004
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of May 18, 2004
Attorney Docket No. 1217-010754


The combination of Wendel, Kozak, Kunin and Saieva does not render claims 3 and 4 obvious. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and that the rejection be withdrawn, and that claims 3 and 4 be allowed.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, it is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of claims 1-4 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON
ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.

By 

Kent E. Baldauf
Registration No. 25,826
Attorney for Applicants
700 Koppers Building
436 Seventh Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818
Telephone: 412-471-8815
Facsimile: 412-471-4094
E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com